

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,398	1	0/03/2001	Joachim Hagenauer	112740-218	8986
29177	7590	10/24/2005		EXAM	INER
BELL, BOY P. O. BOX 1		OYD, LLC	ROBERTS, BRIAN S		
CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·				2662	- "

DATE MAILED: 10/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	(k				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/868,398	HAGENAUER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brian Roberts	2662			
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S	September 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 10-18 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement				
are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, ,			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ivad			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies hot	received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

- Applicant's amendment filed 9/15/2005 is acknowledged.
- Claims 1-9 have been previously cancelled.
- Claims 10-18 have been amended.
- Claims 10-18 remain pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 10-12 and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bruhn (US 6256487).
 - In reference to claim 10

In Figure 3, Bruhn teaches a method of channel and source coding and decoding data structured in frames that includes:

- Dynamically selecting a speech or source code mode from a number of possible code modes (column 2 lines 10-54; column 6 lines 10-42)
- Speech or source coding the data in accordance with the selected code mode
 (column 2 lines 10-54; column 6 lines 10-42)

Art Unit: 2662

 A mode indicator to inform the receiver of the selected coding technique (column 6 lines 43-64)

 Channel encoding the mode indicator with a relatively week channel code independently of the selected source coding mode (column 7 lines 8-11)

In reference to claim 11

Bruhn teaches selecting the source code mode based "upon the radio propagation characteristics of radio communication channels, and the loading of the system". (column 2 lines 48-54)

- In reference to claim 12

Bruhn teaches a method of "a mode request which informs a transmitter of a particular codec mode desired by a receiver for subsequently transmitted information blocks or frames and/or channel measurement information". (column 4 lines 1-6) (column 6 lines 42-63)

- In reference to claim 14

Bruhn teaches channel decoding the mode indicator with a relatively week channel code. (column 7 lines 8-11)

- In reference to claim 15

Application/Control Number: 09/868,398

Art Unit: 2662

Bruhn teaches channel encoding the mode indicator with a relatively week channel code independently of the selected source coding mode (column 7 lines 8-11)

- In reference to claim 16

In Figure 4, Bruhn teaches a method where the mode indicator in the frame is determined by the mode information likelihood processor (107) and delivered to the channel decoder (109) to recover the information via the known redundant bits and the known channel coding. (column 7 line 54-65) (column 10 lines 8-27)

- In reference to claim 17

In Figure 3, Bruhn teaches a system and method of channel and source coding and decoding data structured in frames that includes:

- Dynamically selecting a speech or source code mode from a number of possible code modes (column 2 lines 10-54; column 6 lines 10-42)
- Speech or source coding the data in accordance with the selected code mode (column 2 lines 10-54; column 6 lines 10-42)
- A mode indicator to inform the receiver of the selected coding technique (column 6 lines 43-64)
- A mode control processor (48) for channel encoding the mode indicator with a relatively week channel code independently of the selected source coding mode (column 7 lines 8-11)

Art Unit: 2662

In reference to claim 18

In Figure 4, Bruhn teaches a system and method that includes a processor (107) where redundancy is added to the data frame so that the first portion of the channel-coded data bits act as overhead to allow the decoding of the mode indicator according to the selected coding mode. (column 3 lines 34-55) Bruhn further teaches channel decoding the mode indicator with a relatively week channel code. (column 7 lines 8-11)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruhn (US 6256487).
 - In reference to claim 13

In Figure 3, Bruhn teaches a method of utilizing a convolution code for channel coding the source coded payload data (column 6 lines 10-27) and channel encoding the mode indicator with a relatively week channel code. (column 7 lines 8-11) Bruhn further teaches that the value of the bits in the mode indicator depends on the convolution and speech coding employed for the data payload.

Bruhn does not explicitly teach utilizing a convolution code for the step of channel coding the mode indicator.

Art Unit: 2662

Bruhn teaches utilizing a convolution code to channel code data. (column 6 lines 10-27)

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify method of utilizing a channel code to channel code the mode indicator of Bruhn to include utilizing a convolution code to channel code the mode indication because utilizing convolution coding with a low code rate provides for greater error protection. (column 2 lines 36-44)

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/17/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - In the Remarks on pg. 6 of the Amendment, the Applicant contends that

 Bruhn does not teach channel-coding a first portion of the data bits and the at
 least one mode bit consistently and independently of the particular code

 mode. The Applicant further contends that Bruhn teaches that the channel
 encoding is completely dependent on the source code mode.
 - The Examiner respectfully disagrees. In Figure 3A, Bruhn teaches two different channel coders. Each provides a different rate of protection. The channel coders are selected independently of the two different speech coders with different bit rates (column 6 lines 10-27). Bruhn further teaches channel coding the mode indicator utilizing a weak channel code. (column 7 lines 8-

Art Unit: 2662

11) This channel coding is done independently of the selected source code mode or channel code of the payload data.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - DeMartin et al. teaches a system for dynamic adaptation of data/channel coding in wireless communications that includes a 3-bit channel coded mode header that indicates the coding used for a data frame.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2662

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Roberts whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3095. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BSR 10/17/2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER